

The REAL reason why the US invaded Iraq!

[Post Reply](#) [Post Reply](#) [Page](#)

2

<1234 16>

[Author](#) [Message](#) [Topic](#) [Rating](#) [Rate Topic](#) [Topic Search](#) [Topic Search](#) [Topic Options](#)
[Topic Options](#)

wafi [View](#) [Drop Down](#)

Newbie

Newbie

Joined: 03 February 2007

Location: Germany

Status: Offline

Points: 29 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) wafi [Quote](#) [Post Reply](#) [Reply](#) [Direct Link To This Post](#)
Posted: 03 February 2007 at 3:01pm

peace buisness ... hm... looking at the news of today from Bagdad, I would say worst. Think a lot of people in Germany are afraid of what`s going on in Iran, Irak, Afghanistan, but also Palestina, Israel, Lebanon, Dafur, Somalia Main problem is, to understand US hegemonial politics with a more or less silly leader is one side, but to understand the different parties involved like Sunits or Shiits, Hezsballah etc ... is more or less impossible. Main risk I see today that "simple" answers are given and people happy with simple answers ... but it`s more complex.

And expenses of the Palestinian which Palestinian? Hamas or Fatach? Or odenary Palestinians? Think, this question is as well complex ... as most other questions and I for myselfe have to realize that politics of Israel is as worst as politics of Palestina and I`m not able to answer the question who`s wrong and who`s right. But one thing I know, it`s wrong to kill anybody, but both or more sides are just keeping on killing people ... so maybe each side is wrong.

Yeah ... what to say ... In my point of view we have to come together to stop killing, to have a world wide disarmemend and to learn toleranz and ... may be the main point to learn that each men or women has equal rigths to live, to love and to believe, whatever they want to believe.

I red your HP .. and would say, best regards to you

Peter

[Back to Top](#)

Sponsored Links

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [Sawtul Khilafah Quote](#) [Post Reply](#) [Reply Direct Link To This Post](#) Posted: 09 February 2007 at 2:38pm

"Gates: Bombs tie Iran to Iraq extremists "

http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20070209/ap_on_go_ca_st_pe/gates

See how the Western Government's are deliberately trying to portray Iran as helping the Iraqi resistance when in reality Iran is helping the Occupation forces and the puppet Government.

Anyone who has independently researched the situation in Iraq would know that Iran is arming, funding and morally supporting the puppet Government as well as the Shia Death Squads, especially the Badr Brigade.

These Death squads do NOT fight the occupation, and what they actually do is kill Religious Sunni Muslims (especially the resistance) so they are actually helping the occupation, at least indirectly.

There have ofcourse also been cases where these death squads have fought hand in hand along with the US forces against the Sunni "insurgency".

But every once in a while we see western politicians including Bush accusing Iran of helping the insurgents/resistance. They are trying to decieve us, as I already explained.

Ofcourse the mainstream Media would have us believe that the reason why politicians are accusing Iran is so that the US would have an excuse to invade Iran. THIS IS NOT TRUE.

The US is also deliberately accusing Iran of making WMDs, which may be true, but they are only saying this because they know that after what happened in Iraq, no one would believe the same accusation made against Iran. In other words what they are actually doing is that they are making excuses to NOT invade Iran, without people becoming suspicious.

USA and Iran = Two sides of the same coin

Edited by Sawtul Khilafah

[Back to Top](#)

[Hanan View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Joined: 27 July 2006

Location: Germany

Status: Offline

Points: 1035 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [Hanan](#) [Quote](#) [Post Reply](#) [Reply](#) [Direct Link To This Post](#)
Posted: 09 February 2007 at 4:24pm

Brother Sawtul, you wrote: "I have no doubt that Moqtada al-Sadr was meant to be the Nasrallah of Iraq. In other words, the plan was that he would rise up against the US, and the US would then leave all of a sudden. Sadr would then be seen as the hero and saviour of Iraq, and with Saddam gone, he would take over Iraq and eventually hand it over to Iran."

Am I to believe that the secret plan between Moqtada al-Sadr and the US government WAS that he should pretend to be an enemy of the U.S., that he should kill U.S.- and coalition soldiers, which then would scare (just pretend scare) the Americans into leaving Iraq?

Tell me, Brother, what happened? US forces are still in Iraq, Moqtada is still not president, and Ahmediijad is still busy denying the Holocaust. Did time stand still while I was gone? Was there a change of plan?

◆Everyone wants to go to Baghdad. Real men want to go to Tehran.◆

Peace, Hanan

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Sawtul Khilafah Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To
This Post Posted: 09 February 2007 at 7:47pm

Assalamu 'alaykum wa Rahmatullah

I already explained on my first post on this thread.

The original plan was for Sadr to take over, the back up plan is a (supposedly) "religious" New Iraqi Government which would (despite being "religious") be on the American side.

The new Iraqi Government became "religious" because the Americans were being defeated by the "insurgency" and needed more help. Since most Iraqis are Shias the new Iraqi Government (which is mainly Shiah) was made to appear more religious so that:

1: More people would join the National Army

2: Sadr could side with the National Army and the Government whenever needed, saying "Im helping our Shiah brothers to fight the evil Nasibis (Sunnis)".

As long as the Sunni insurgency/resistance remains strong, the original plan cannot be implemented. The conspirators prefer the original plan ofcourse, but their back up plan can go back to the original if they manage to destroy the Sunni resistance.

This is why to this day Sadr Still pretends to oppose the Government, even though sometimes he sides with them and sends his militia to defend the Government !!!

He is still pretending to oppose them at times because if the Americans and their allies succeed in destroying the Sunni resistance, he can rise up and carry out the original plan.

This is also why George Bush refuses to set a date for US to pull out of Iraq. Bush constantly says that he is going to stay until they win - in reality he wants to stay until they lose (to Sadr) but they don't know when they are going to go through with the plan as they do not know when (or even if) they would succeed in defeating the Sunni resistance.

Hence it is impossible for the conspirators to set a date for pulling out of Iraq, as they want to make it seem like the US forces were suddenly defeated by Sadr.

But if the US is finally forced to leave Iraq (by really being defeated), or if Sadr's militias are destroyed or seriously weakened by Sunni forces, then they would have no choice but to continue with the back up plan.

And the back up plan is that the New Iraqi Government would continue working for the US, but later, shortly after the Americans leave Iraq, they would side with Iran and appear to become anti-American (they may then claim that they worked with the US due to "political reasons" and that they always opposed them but pretended to be on their side for the "greater good").

Then the New Iraqi Government would join Iran, and Iran would send their army to Iraq to destroy the remaining Sunni resistance.

The back up plan is of course far less convincing and is not helpful in giving Shias a heroic face. The original plan is tried and tested in Lebanon where it seems to work well.

Insha'allah, both plans will fail.

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [Sawtul Khilafah Quote](#) [Post Reply](#) [ReplyDirect Link To This Post](#) Posted: 09 February 2007 at 9:07pm

<http://www.theage.com.au/news/world/burned-alive-savage-twist-in-revenge-attack/2006/11/25/1164341452050.html?from=rss>

Steven Hurst, Baghdad

November 26, 2006

REVENGE-seeking militiamen seized six Sunnis as they left prayers and burned them alive with kerosene in a savage new twist to the brutality shaking Baghdad.

The attack in the Iraqi capital on Friday came a day after suspected Sunni insurgents killed more than 200 people in Baghdad's main Shiite district.

Iraqi soldiers at a nearby army post failed to intervene in Friday's assault by suspected members of the Shiite Mehdi Army militia, said police Captain Jamil Hussein.

He said in subsequent attacks at least 19 other Sunnis were killed, including women and children, in the same area, the volatile Hurriyah district in north-west Baghdad.

Most of the thousands of dead bodies that have been found dumped across Baghdad and other cities in central Iraq in recent months have been of victims who were tortured and then shot to death, according to police.

The suspected militia killers often have used electric drills on their captives' bodies before killing them. The bodies are frequently decapitated.

But burning victims alive introduced a new method of brutality that was likely to be reciprocated by the other sect as the Shiites and Sunnis continue killing one another in unprecedented numbers.

The gruesome attack, which came despite a curfew in Baghdad, capped a day in which at least 87 people were killed or found dead in sectarian violence throughout Iraq.

In Hurriyah, the rampaging militiamen also burned and blew up four mosques and torched several homes in the district, Captain Hussein said.

Residents of the troubled district claim the Mehdi Army has begun kidnapping and holding Sunni hostages to use in ritual slaughter at the funerals of Shiite victims of Baghdad's raging sectarian war.

President Jalal Talabani emerged from lengthy meetings with other Iraqi leaders yesterday and said the Defence Minister, Abdul-Qader al-Obaidi, indicated that the Hurriyah neighbourhood had been quiet throughout the day.

But Imad al-Hasimi, a Sunni elder in Hurriyah, confirmed Captain Hussein's account of the immolations. He told Al-Arabiya television he saw people who were drenched in kerosene and then set afire, burning to death before his eyes.

The Association of Muslim Scholars, the most influential Sunni organisation in Iraq, said even more victims were burned to death in attacks on the four mosques.

It claimed a total of 18 people had died in an inferno at the al-Muhaimin mosque.

The extreme violence continued to tear at Iraq's social fabric even after the Government had banned pedestrians and cars from the streets and closed the international airport until further notice in anticipation of a storm of retaliation for the five bombings and two mortar rounds, which killed 215 in Sadr City on Thursday.

The airport closure forced Mr Talabani to delay his planned weekend departure for Tehran for meetings with President Mahmoud Ahmadinejad. The Iranian leader also invited Syrian President Bashar Assad, but it now appeared he would not attend.

The chaos also cast a shadow over the Amman, Jordan, summit this week between Shiite Prime Minister Nuri al-Maliki and US President George Bush.

Politicians loyal to radical anti-American Shiite cleric Muqtada al-Sadr threatened to boycott parliament and the cabinet if Mr Maliki went ahead with the meeting.

The political bloc, known as Sadrists, is a mainstay of support for Mr Maliki. The Mehdi Army is the organisation's armed wing.

In Sadr City, cleanup crews continued removing the remains of the dead from wreckage of the car bombs, and tents were erected throughout the ramshackle district for relatives to receive condolences.

Hundreds of men, women and children beat their chests, chanted and cried as they walked beside vehicles carrying the caskets of their loved ones toward the holy Shiite city of Najaf for burial.

As you can see, the New Iraqi Government try to defend Sadr (their supposed "enemy") by denying that anything had happened:

" Defence Minister Abdul-Qader al-Obaidi indicated the Hurriyah neighbourhood had been quiet throughout the day."

This is because in reality, the puppet Government and Sadr are on the same side.

Intrestingly, the Americans also confirmed what the Defence Minister of the New Iraqi

Government said ! (So the Americans are also trying to cover up Sadr's crimes against Sunni civilians).

No surprise here as the Western Media as a whole did their best not to report any of the atrocities committed by Sadr and the Death squads during the first few years of the war.

What most people do not know is that the Sunni resistance only fought back and reacted against the Shiah allies of the US, after the horrific atrocities committed by the National Army, the Death Squads and "Mahdi army" against Sunni civilians.

The Western Media managed to make things seem the other way around by portraying the Shiah death squads as a reaction to Sunni "terrorism"!

Even today the mainstream media report as little as possible about the death squads and what little they report is due to the fact that numerous independent reporters have already reported it (as the death squads are very well known and their atrocities very often seen in Iraq) and it is impossible now for the mainstream media to remain completely silent over this issue, so they make a little mention of it once in a while.

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [Sawtul Khilafah Quote](#) [Post Reply](#)[Reply](#)[Direct Link](#) To This Post Posted: 15 February 2007 at 2:27pm

http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20070214/ap_on_go_pr_wh/bush

Again, the same old rubbish "Iran is arming Iraqi insurgents".

"President Bush said Wednesday there is no doubt the Iranian government is providing armor-piercing weapons to kill American soldiers in Iraq"

Yeah right! Interestingly he then said that he is NOT going to attack Iran !

Then.... why did he make this accusation to begin with ?? This is while it is a CLEAR fact that Iran is actually allied to the "new iraqi government" and HELPING the occupation by sending Shiah Death Squads such as the "Badr brigades" to Iraq to KILL the "insurgents", rather than "help" them.

The only supposed "insurgent/resistance" group that Iran funds and arms is the "Mahdi Army" who have been seen HELPING THE OCCUPATION and fighting against Real resistance groups.

What the conspirators are trying to do is to make Iran popular among anti-american Muslims as I've already explained on this and other threads. This is all part of the original and back-up plan which I've already mentioned.

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah](#) [View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 [Post Options](#) [Post Options](#)

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) [Quote](#) [Sawtul Khilafah](#) [Quote](#) [Post Reply](#) [Reply Direct Link To This Post](#) Posted: 15 February 2007 at 7:28pm

http://news.yahoo.com/s/ap/20070216/ap_on_go_co/us_iraq

"WASHINGTON - House Speaker Nancy Pelosi said Thursday that President Bush lacks the authority to invade Iran without specific approval from Congress, a fresh challenge to the commander in chief on the eve of a symbolic vote critical of his troop buildup in Iraq."

Oh...so Bush "cant" invade Iran ... he really really wants to... but he just cant...

I guess the Freemasonic American Government is just "too good" to let Bush invade Iran... so we have the good old American Government on one side, and Bush on the other...

But seriously this is again part of their plan. It's a long story but at the end of the day they want to blame everything on Bush and the Republicans, and then say it's ok for Iran and the Liberal party of the US to be allies because the Liberals were the "good guys"!!!

(This is the last part of their plan and is to happen long after the take over of Iraq by Iran.)

Edited by Sawtul Khilafah

[Back to Top](#)

[Sawtul Khilafah](#) [View Drop Down](#)

Senior Member

Senior Member

Avatar

Joined: 20 July 2006

Location: Peru

Status: Offline

Points: 623 Post Options Post Options

Thanks (0) Thanks(0) Quote Sawtul Khilafah Quote Post ReplyReplyDirect Link To This Post Posted: 15 March 2007 at 4:49pm

Al Sadr Fled Iraq, Fearing U.S. Bombs

<http://abcnews.go.com/WNT/IraqCoverage/story?id=2872953>

So why did he leave Iraq? The above links says because he was scared of US forces. The truth however is that Sadr left Iraq as soon as the Sunni Resistance intensified their attacks against Sadr's so called "Mahdi army".

The Sunnis in Iraq have formed what they call The Islamic State of Iraq which consists of some of the strongest Sunni (including Salafi) resistance groups who are trying to take over Iraq.

Shortly before Sadr left Iraq, the Islamic State of Iraq reported that they had killed over 1500 members of Sadr's "Mahdi Army" within less than 2 months !!!

Then what happens ? Bush sends 21000 more troops to Iraq and Sadr leaves Iraq for Iran.

What really happened was that Sadr's forces were being defeated by Sunni forces, and as I have explained, Sadr's army is the most important part of this whole Illuminati/rosicrucian conspiracy.

So just as Sadr's forces were suffering heavy casualties, the US sent 21000 more troops to take their place. As I have already explained, Sadr's forces have been helping the occupation by fighting against the Sunni resistance. Without them the Sunni resistance would have a much easier time against the US and the puppet "New Iraqi Government".

So Sadr was losing and wanted to stop fighting as he feared his forces may be destroyed and he himself may soon be killed so the USA (his secret ally) sent 21000 more troops to fill in for him.

In other words, the reason why Bush sent these troops to Iraq (despite all the controversy) was to protect Sadr's forces and save them from the Sunni Resistance, particularly the Islamic State of Iraq.

When Sadr left Iraq, fleeing from the Sunni resistance, the Media tried to make it seem as if he was escaping from US forces, thus continuing to portray him as USA's enemy.

Interestingly, Sadr who helped the invasion by fighting against the Sunni resistance, and who even protected occupation forces in Basrah, is now being protected by the QUDS force (who are a group in Iran controlled by Khamenehi leader of Iran).

This is while Bush claimed that the Quds force are "arming the insurgency in Iraq" !!!

So who were the Quds force of Iran really arming ?

They were arming Sadr.

And what was Sadr doing?

He was helping the occupation!

This proves what I said on my earlier posts on this thread (that Iran is not really helping the Resistance but are in fact helping the occupation - not to mention the Death squads).

The face of hypocrasy